

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,436	06/25/2003	Steven M. Burns	03-325 - EH-10940	6928	
	7590 05/07/2007		EXAMINER		
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET			IP, SIKYIN		
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TID WILL VIII,	01 00310		1742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/606,436	BURNS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	7.0
Sikyin Ip	1742	

	before the rilling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Sikyin Ip	1742						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE	HE REPLY FILED <u>23 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.							
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).							
have under set fo may r	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 April 2007</u> . A brief to date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replements	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the					
3. 🗀	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause					
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);						
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •							
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.						
<u>.</u> _	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL -324)					
7 5			ompliant / menament	(1 102 024).					
6. <u> </u>			timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
T	non-allowable claim(s).		,	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23,28,29</u> .								
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>24-27 and 30-33</u> .								
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A la company and the state of Change A	latin at Amazal	-4 b4					
8. ∟	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
	See Continuation Sheet.								
12. [Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	1						
13. [Other:		Perinan	Examinar 742					
	•		All	742					
			700						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reason set forth in prior Final rejection. Applicants argue that Burns failed to teach inject gas at workpiece center location. The ionized gas stream cleaning the whole workpiece surface includes workpiece center location (col. 3, line 49 to col. 4, line 23). Applicants argue that Burns failed to teach gas flow rate at a rate would not cause furnace door open. In col. 3, line 49 to col. 4, line 23, Burns teaches ionized gas stream cleaning in vacuum chamber. It is understood that the gas flow rate would not cause door open or the chamber would lose vacuum.